1 2 3 4 5	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney MARK KROTOSKI (CASBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division DEREK R. OWENS (CASBN 230237) Special Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102			
7	Telephone: (415) 436-6488 Fax: (415) 436-7234 derek.owens@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff			
9	Attorneys for Framtiff			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No.: 3-07-70083 MEJ			
14				
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING			
16	v.) <u>AND EXCLUDING TIME</u>			
17	ERNESTO R. RODRIGUEZ,)			
18	Defendant.			
19)			
20				
21	On May 4, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a preliminary hearing.			
22	At that time, the parties requested and the Court agreed to continue the hearing to May 17, 2007.			
23	The parties hereby jointly and respectfully request the court to continue the hearing currently			
24	scheduled for May 17, 2007 to May 25, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Elizabeth D.			
25	Laporte.			
26	The parties further stipulate that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (FRCP)			
27	5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from May 17, 2007 to and including			
28	May 25, 2007. The parties agree that – taking into account the public interest in prompt			
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order			

1	disposition of criminal cases – good cause exists for this extension. Defendant also agrees to			
2	exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under Title 18, United States Code,			
3	Section 3161. The parties represent that granting the continuance is the reasonable time			
4	necessary for continuity of defense and government counsel and effective preparation of defense			
5	and government counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §			
6	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a			
7	continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See			
8	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).			
9	SO STIPULATED:			
10			SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney	
11		5/16/2007	/s/ Derek Owens	
12	DATED:	3/10/2007	DEREK OWENS	
13			Special Assistant United States Attorney	
14	DATED:	5/16/2007	/s/ Gayle Gutekunst	
15	DATED:	·	GAYLE GUTEKUNST	
16			ROBERT M. AMPARAN Attorneys for Enrique Rodriguez	
17	F 4	1 1	d C	
18	For the reasons stated above, the Court orders the May 17, 2007 preliminary continued to			
19	May 25, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte. Also for the reasons			
20	stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time from May 17, 2007 to and including May			
21	25, 2007, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best			
22	interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A); FRCF			
23	5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny Mr. Rodriguez continuity of			
24	counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation			
25	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice.			
26	See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).			
27	SO ORDERED.			
28	DATED:		THE HON. NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge	

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order